

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/675,325 | 09/29/2003 | Satoru Yukie | 113750-2004US | 5292 | |
| 27189 | 7590 06/12/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PROCOPIO | O, CORY, HARGREAVE | RAMAKRISHN | RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR | | |
| 530 B STREET SUITE 2100 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 | | | 2614 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/675,325 | YUKIE ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Melur Ramakrishnaiah | 2614 | | |

| B fore the filling of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Melur Ramakrishnaiah | 2614 | | | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because | | | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | Illowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-61</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′ | ls to provide a I). | | | | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| I1. The request for reconsideration has been considered be a considered by a considered b | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | | | |
| | • | Melur Ramakrishn Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2614 | alah L | | | | | |

▼ Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to claims raise new issus that would require further consideration and search .